To whom it may concern: Guideline feedback.

matthew scheier to natboards 04/2010 05:31

The nature of this feedback is based upon the timely or timeless nature upon which I have received the message. Had it not been for a spontaneous trip to Melbourne over the weekend; I would not have even had the opportunity to respond by the requested day.

In due course it is my ability to respond through which I take liberty.

Chiropractic is misunderstood.

Within the profession and also without.

It is absolutely critical to cite the nature of these guidelines. Their purpose: Is to protect the public ensuring quality and reasonable care whilst simultaneously stating guidelines for professional conduct. Belying the nature of operation of these guideline is a lot of gross assumption and presumption of the conduct by practitioners around the country.

When reading these proposed guidelines the message seems to be dominated by another nature; one of irresponsibility and misconduct. It is critical to go back to the purpose here and ask ourselves the question if it is achievable to convey the material speculated with a professional sense of unity and also true service. This tone would ensure the same purpose with a net result being much different and greater for all of humanity.

Ask ourselves the question: What is the purpose of Chiropractic?
Then ask ourselves the question: do these guidelines support of hinder this purpose. The answer here unfortunately remains gray... I am afraid that this is neither the fault of the policy makers or the fault of the professionals; it is however our current point and urges us to take appropriate action towards our purpose.

Is it at all possible to take a closer look, and a wider listen to how we may fulfill a just and equitable service to the public whilst at the same time providing a just and equitable service for the Chiropractic profession.
Chiropractic is very specific in many areas and requires due consideration and input from parties involved both from a policy side but also from a practitioner side.

This requires the time of fair consideration by those involved.

And as it is now. This email closes over-time of business day submission. This however does not imply that I was not studious or don't at all care. It is just the nature of how I received some important information which will affect myself, colleagues, the profession and the public (both community and world) from here on in.

Regards,